UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,453	07/18/2007	Takahisa Harayama	076748-0011	1475
	7590	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	EET, N.W.	CONNOLLY, PATRICK J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/591,453	HARAYAMA E	HARAYAMA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		PATRICK J. CONNOLL	Y 2877		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	e address	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later t earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ROM THE MAILING DANGED HE PROVISION OF THE MAILING DANGED HE PROVISION OF THE MAILING DANGED HE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nis communication.	
Status					
, —	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final. nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are withdrawallowed. is/are rejected. jected to. oject to restriction and/o ected to by the Examine at that any objection to the eet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. are: a) accepted or both accepted or both accepted in abeging in the drawing accepted if the drawing in a second in a sec	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a ing(s) is objected to. See 3). 7 CFR 1.121(d).	
·	, ,				
2. ☐ Certified copies of3. ☒ Copies of the ce	☐ None of: of the priority document of the priority document rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Natio	nal Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-62) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr 3) Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09.01.2006	awing Review (PTO-948) s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 12-14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,351,311 to Numai ("Numai").

As to claim 1, Numai discloses a semiconductor laser gyro including (see Figures 11, 12 and 17): a photodetector (39) and a semiconductor laser that emits first and second laser lights (40), wherein the photodetector is disposed in a position where an interference fringe is formed by the first and second laser lights, the semiconductor laser includes an active layer as well as first and second electrodes (via anode 43) for injecting a carrier into the active layer (see Figure 17), the first laser light is one obtained through emission of a part of laser light (L1) that circulates on a polygonal path in the active layer, and the second laser light is one obtained through emission of a part of laser light (L2) that circulates on the polygonal path in an opposite direction to the laser light (L1).

As to claims 2 and 3, Numai discloses a square shaped planar shape with first and fourth corners as end faces.

As to claim 4, Numai discloses the electrodes being in contact with each other (See Figure 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,453 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

As to claim 12, Numai discloses a multi-element photodetector (39).

As to claims 13 and 14, Numai discloses monolithic construction (see Figure 17).

As to claim 23, Numai discloses two cladding layers (46 and 49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Numai as applied above.

As to claims 15-22, Numai is silent with respect to a lens coupling the output of the gyro and the detector.

The Examiner takes Official Notice of the fact that it is well known to use lenses and prisms to couple the output of optical laser gyroscopes in order to more efficiently measure the output of the gyro. Further, in monolithic devices it is well known to fully integrate the components so as to create robust and rugged devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of record to integrate a lens or prism in the device of Numai to achieve said advantages.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 5, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a semiconductor laser gyro including: a rhombic path with end faces corresponding to the first and fourth corner, wherein internal angles of the first and second corners that oppose each other on the rhombic path are smaller than internal angles of the third and fourth corners, and both first and second laser lights are emitted from the first end face that is formed in a position corresponding to the first corner, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,453 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PATRICK J. CONNOLLY whose telephone number is (571)272-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 7:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571.272.2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrick J Connolly/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2877